Asymptotic Symmetry Algebra of Conformal Gravity

Maria Irakleidou[1,*] and Iva Lovrekovic[1,†]

[1]*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10/136, A-1040 Vienna, Austria*

(Dated: November 8, 2016)

We compute asymptotic symmetry algebras of conformal gravity. Due to more general boundary conditions allowed in conformal gravity in comparison to those in Einstein gravity, we can classify the corresponding algebras. The highest algebra for non-trivial boundary conditions is five dimensional and it leads to global geon solution with non-vanishing charges.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Ha, 04.50.Kd, 95.35.+d, 98.52.-b, 98.80.-k

arXiv:1611.01810v1 [hep-th] 6 Nov 2016

Contents

Introduction 2

Conformal gravity 2

Asymptotic symmetries in conformal gravity 2
Analysis of the leading order conformal Killing equation 4

Asymptotic symmetry algebras of the known global solutions 5

Highest asymptotic symmetry algebras 5
Five dimensional algebra 5
Four dimensional algebras 6

Global solutions for asymptotic symmetry algebras 7
Global solution from five dimensional algebra 7
Global solution from four dimensional algebras 8
Constant $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ 8
$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ dependent on two coordinates 8
Global solution from three dimensional subalgebra 9
Global solution dependent on three coordinates on the manifold 10

Conclusion 11

Acknowledgements 11

Appendix 11

Appendix A: Dependency on coordinates 11

Appendix B: Classification According to the Generators of the Conformal Group 13

Appendix C: Patera et al. Classification 17
sim(2,1) 18
opt(2,1) 20
o(2,2) 22
o(3,1) 22

References 22

INTRODUCTION

Conformal gravity (CG) is higher derivative theory of gravity that has recently obtained many interests since it can reproduce the solutions obtained by Einstein gravity (EG) [19], which is one of the main requirements for the effective gravity theory.

Its advantage is that it is two loop renormalizable while EG is not, however it contains ghosts while EG is ghost free. From theoretical aspects CG has been studied by 't Hooft in series of articles [11, 26, 27]. In [12], he considers conformal symmetry to play a fundamental role for understanding the physics at the Planck energy scale. It arises from the twistor string theory [4] and as a counterterm from five dimensional EG. On the phenomenological grounds it has been studied by Mannheim to explain the galactic rotation curves without the addition of the dark matter [21, 22]. Furthermore, it was proven in [9] that the analogous, linear, term which in two dimensional toy model provides additional matter, is allowed to appear in CG. In four dimensional CG, that term leads to the response function that appears in CG beside the standard EG response function, which is Brown–York stress energy tensor.

The response functions define boundary charges in the AdS/CFT framework which define the asymptotic symmetry algebra (ASA) at the boundary. We consider the richness of the ASA depending on the restrictions of the imposed boundary conditions. In general, one is interested to study asymptotic symmetries for three main reasons. First one is simply for the better insight in to the asymptotic symmetry of the gravity theory. The empty AdS is maximally symmetric solution, and studying the substructure of that solution due to the boundary conditions of the theory is a natural choice. The second reason is that, since we are considering conformal gravity whose equations of motion are not as restrictive as equations of motion of EG, the structure is richer than the structure in [10] and the structure in asymptotically flat space. Beside the global charges, we are interested in the local densities of the charges at the boundary and studyng entire boundary stress energy tensor. The third reason is that the conserved charges define ASA and play fundamental role for the AdS/CFT correspondence.

This article is structured as follows. In second and third section we review the general properties of CG and its asymptotic symmetries. We describe the procedure that leads to ASA and classify its subalgebras. In section four we analyse ASA of the known spherically symmetric solutions. In section five, we present highest subalgebras, while in section six we construct the global solutions from highest subalgeras, consider double holography solution and global solution dependent on all the coordinates of the manifold, and in section seven we conclude. The appendices contain detailed classified subalgebras and analysis of the linear term.

CONFORMAL GRAVITY

Let us review the most important features of conformal gravity. The theory depends only on (Lorentz-) angles but not on the distances, i.e. it is invariant under local Weyl rescalings of the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{2\omega}\, g_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{1}$$

for Weyl factor ω that is allowed to depend on the coordinates on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Conformal gravity bulk action

$$I_{\rm CG} = \alpha_{\rm CG} \int \mathrm{d}^4x \sqrt{|g|}\, g_{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} g^{\gamma\lambda} g^{\delta\tau}\, C^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta} C^{\mu}{}_{\nu\lambda\tau} \tag{2}$$

consists of the square of the Weyl tensor $C^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$, and since ω from the square root of determinant is canceled with ω from the metric terms, the action is manifestly invariant under the Weyl rescalings. The action yields equations of motion (EOM) fourth order in derivatives, which require vanishing of the Bach tensor

$$\left(\nabla^{\delta}\nabla_{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\, R^{\delta}{}_{\gamma}\right) C^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\delta\beta} = 0\,. \tag{3}$$

ASYMPTOTIC SYMMETRIES IN CONFORMAL GRAVITY

Following the notations of [9] we are interested to find the boundary conditions that preserve the gauge transformations

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \left(e^{2\omega} - 1\right) g_{\mu\nu} + \pounds_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{4}$$

where the first term corresponds to Weyl transformations of the metric 1 and the second term corresponds to small diffeomorphisms $x^\mu \to x^\mu + \xi^\mu$ generated by the vector field ξ.

We use the gauge

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2}\left(-\sigma d\rho^2 + \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j\right) \tag{5}$$

for $\sigma = \pm 1$ for (AdS/dS) case and we expand the metric γ_{ij} (metric at the boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$), in the Fefferman-Graham (FG)

$$\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + \rho\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + ... \tag{6}$$

We analogously expand the Weyl factor and the small diffeomorphisms

$$\omega = \omega^{(0)} + \rho\omega^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\omega^{(2)} + ... \tag{7}$$

$$\xi^\mu = \xi^{\mu(0)} + \rho\xi^{\mu(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{\mu(2)} + ... \tag{8}$$

The imposition of the conditions to the metric $\delta g_{\rho\rho} = 0$ and the $\delta g_{\rho i} = 0$ and keeping of form of the asymptotic expansion of the metric, leads to vanishing of the leading term in the expansion of the Weyl factor, $\omega^{(0)} = 0$ and $\xi^{(0)} = 0$. That gives

$$\omega = \rho\omega^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2\omega^{(2)} + ... \tag{9}$$

$$\xi^\rho = \rho\lambda - \rho^2\omega^{(1)} + ... \tag{10}$$

$$\xi^i = \xi^i_{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\rho^2\mathcal{D}^i\lambda \tag{11}$$

where λ, $\omega^{(n)}$ and $\xi^{i(0)}$ are arbitrary functions of the coordinates on the $\partial\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{D}_i$ is covariant derivative on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ compatible with the metric $\gamma_{ij}^{(0)}$. The boundary conditions [9]

$$\delta\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} = 2\overline{\lambda}\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} \tag{12}$$

$$\delta\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \overline{\lambda}\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} \tag{13}$$

are defined by $\overline{\lambda}$, taking into an account with an automatic assumption that the expansion of the metric does not contain any logarithmic terms. In the expansion of the ξ^i (11) we must notice that there is no linear term, which means that the transformation of the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ will depend only on the $\xi^i_{(0)}$, λ and $\omega^{(1)}$. In the leading and the subleading order in ρ respectively, the equation (4) will give equations

$$\delta\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}_i\xi_j^{(0)} + \mathcal{D}_j\xi_i^{(0)} - 2\lambda\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} \tag{14}$$

$$\delta\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \pounds_{\xi^k_{(0)}}\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} + 4\omega^{(1)}\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} - \lambda\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}. \tag{15}$$

Taking the trace of (14) and using (12) leads to

$$\overline{\lambda} = \frac{1}{3}\gamma_{il}^{(0)}\mathcal{D}^i\xi^l - \lambda, \tag{16}$$

and then the leading order conformal Killing equation (14) becomes

$$\mathcal{D}_i\xi_j^{(0)} + \mathcal{D}_j\xi_i^{(0)} = \frac{2}{3}\gamma_{ij}^{(0)}\mathcal{D}_k\xi^{(0)k}. \tag{17}$$

Determining $\omega^{(1)}$ by taking the trace of (15) and using the definition of λ the subleading equation (15) is

$$\pounds_{\xi^{(0)}}\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{D}_k\xi^k_{(0)}\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}. \tag{18}$$

In the leading order equation (17) we can choose the background metric $\gamma_{ij}^{(0)}$ and obtain the set of asymptotic killing vectors $\xi_i^{(0)}$. And the subleading order equation (18) for the given subset of killing vectors defines $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ or we can define $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ to obtain these killing vectors.

Analysis of the leading order conformal Killing equation

We start the analysis of the asymptotic symmetry algebra with the analysis of the equation (17). We search for the KVs $\xi^{(0)}$ by imposing $\gamma^{(0)}_{ij}$ to be

- the flat background
$$\gamma^{(0)}_{ij} = \eta_{ij} = diag(-1,1,1) \tag{19}$$
defined with the coordinates (t,x,y) on the boundary of the manifold $\partial\mathcal{M}$, on which we focus here,
- and the spherical $\mathbb{R}\times S^2$ background
$$\gamma^{(0)}_{ij} = \eta_{ij} = diag(-1,1,\sin(\theta^2)) \tag{20}$$
with coordinates (t,θ,ϕ), to which one can map the solution from the flat background.

For the flat background, KVs have been computed in the standard literature on the conformal field theories [7]. In three dimensional Minkowski space these KVs are

$$\xi^{(0)} = \partial_t, \qquad \xi^{(1)} = \partial_x, \qquad \xi^{(2)} = \partial_y \tag{21}$$
$$\xi^{(3)} = x\partial_t + t\partial_x \qquad \xi^{(4)} = y\partial_t + t\partial_y \qquad \xi^{(5)} = y\partial_x - x\partial_y \tag{22}$$
$$\xi^{(6)} = t\partial_t + x\partial_x + y\partial_y \tag{23}$$
$$\xi^{(7)} = tx\partial_t + \frac{t^2+x^2-y^2}{2}\,\partial_x + xy\partial_y \tag{24}$$
$$\xi^{(8)} = ty\partial_t + xy\partial_x + \frac{t^2+y^2-x^2}{2}\,\partial_y \tag{25}$$
$$\xi^{(9)} = \frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{2}\,\partial_t + tx\partial_x + ty\partial_y. \tag{26}$$

three generators of translations (Ts: $\xi^t = (\xi^{(0)},\xi^{(1)}),\xi^{(2)})$), which together with the generators of the Lorentz rotations $L_{ij} = (x_i\partial_j - x_j\partial_i)$ for $(\xi^{(3)},\xi^{(4)},\xi^{(5)})$, form Poincare algebra, dilatations (Ds:$\xi^{(6)} \equiv \xi^d$), and special conformal transformations (SCTs: $\xi^{sct} = (\xi^{(7)},\xi^{(8)},\xi^{(9)})$).They close into conformal algebra $so(3,2)$

$$[\xi^d,\xi^t_j] = -\xi^t_j \qquad [\xi^d,\xi^{sct}_j] = \xi^{sct}_j \tag{27}$$
$$[\xi^t_l, L_{ij}] = (\eta_{li}\xi^t_j - \eta_{lj}\xi^t_i) \qquad [\xi^{sct}_l, L_{ij}] = -(\eta_{li}\xi^{sct}_j - \eta_{lj}\xi^{sct}_i) \tag{28}$$
$$[\xi^{sct}_i,\xi^t_j] = -(\eta_{ij}\xi^d - L_{ij}) \tag{29}$$
$$[L_{ij},L_{mj}] = -L_{im} \tag{30}$$

which we can verify explicitly. The vanishing of the linear term in the FG expansion (6) leads to the vanishing of the subleading Killing equation (18) which means the asymptotic symmetry algebra is pure conformal algebra. If linear termin (6) does not vanish, one needs to analyse ASA using (18), which can be performed in one of the two ways

1. First can be called "top-down" approach in which we bring full solution of CG to FG form for flat $\gamma^{(0)}_{ij}$. That defines $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that through (18) determine the conserved KVs, i.e. corresponding subalgebra.
2. (a) Impose restrictions on $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ and determine the subalgebra or from (18),
   (b) impose subalgebra and determine $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$.

We can also define a linear combination of the KVs

$$\xi^{lc} = a_0\xi^{(0)} + a_1\xi^{(1)} + a_2\xi^{(2)} + a_3\xi^{(3)} + a_4\xi^{(4)} + a_5\xi^{(5)} + a_6\xi^{(6)} + a_7\xi^{(7)} + a_8\xi^{(8)} + a_9\xi^{(9)}, \tag{31}$$

and repeat the procedure under point 2. In the case 2.b. The imposed subalgebra can consist from

1. the selected KVs,
2. subalgebras classified according to the [24].

ASYMPTOTIC SYMMETRY ALGEBRAS OF THE KNOWN GLOBAL SOLUTIONS

Let us consider first the ASAs of the known solutions. For that we use the first approach. That allows us to compute the corresponding response functions, energy, entropy and the momentum. Most general spherically symmetric solution of CG, Mannheim–Kazanas–Riegert solution [20] is defined by

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -k(r)\,\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{k(r)} + r^2\ \mathrm{d}\Omega^2_{S^2} \tag{32}$$

for $d\Omega^2_{S^2}$ the line-element of the two sphere and

$$k(r) = \sqrt{1-12aM} - \frac{2M}{r} - \Lambda r^2 + 2ar. \tag{33}$$

The response functions are $\tau^i{}_j = -8mp^i{}_j + 8aa_M diag(1,-1,-1)^i{}_j$ for $p^i{}_j = diag(1,-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})^i{}_j$ and $m = M$, while $P^i{}_j = 8a_M p^i{}_j$. Here, $a_M = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-12aM}}{6}$ and $\sigma = -1$ [9]. Once transformed into FG expansion in which $\gamma^{(0)}_{ij} = diag(-1,1,\sin(\theta)^2)$ for the spherical background, $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ is

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a\sin^2\theta \end{pmatrix} \tag{34}$$

which closes into the $\mathcal{R}\oplus o(3)$ algebra. ∂_t is the only KV with $Q[\partial_t] = m - aa_M$ as an associated conserved charge for normalisation of the action $\alpha_{CG} = \frac{1}{64\pi}$. The Wald's approach or on-shell action give for the entropy $S = A_h/4$ with $A_h = 4\pi r_h^2$ area of the horizon, which is $k(r_h) = 0$.

The second example of this approach is the rotating black hole. Its parametrisation consists of the Rindler acceleration μ, rotation parameter $\tilde{a}$ and the vanishing mass parameter. That leads to zero PMR. The Brown-York stress energy tensor gives for the conserved energy $E = -\tilde{a}\mu^2/[(1-\tilde{a})^2]$ and for the conserved angular momentum $J = E/\tilde{a}$, both of which are linear in the Rindler parameter μ.

To reduce the solution to the FG expansion, first we bring $\gamma^{(0)}_{ij}$ to $diag(-1,1,\sin^2\theta)$ form that leads to

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4\mu}{2-\alpha^2+\alpha^2\cos(2\theta)} & 0 & \frac{4\alpha\mu\sin^2\theta}{2-\alpha^2+\alpha^2\cos(2\theta)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{4\alpha\mu\sin^2\theta}{2-\alpha^2+\alpha^2\cos(2\theta)} & 0 & \frac{4\alpha^2\mu\sin^4\theta}{2-\alpha^2+\alpha^2\cos(2\theta)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{35}$$

which conserves ∂_ϕ and ∂_t KVs of an Abelian $o(2)$ algebra.

Third example is the Schwarzschild BH [10]. Transforming it into FG form leads to vanishing $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ which means that ASA is entire $o(3,2)$. The analysis of the conserved stress energy tensor agrees with the one in [19] and [6].

Above global solutions of CG lead to ASAs that are 4-dimensional, 2-dimensional and full $o(3,2)$. In the following section we analyse the opposite direction, knowing the asymptotical solution, we consider which is the corresponding global solution.

HIGHEST ASYMPTOTIC SYMMETRY ALGEBRAS

In this section we describe the highest dimensional subalgebras of CG ASA. We impose the additional condition of tracelessness to $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ since its trace is gauge. The dependency of the ASA on components of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ and coordinates on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ is given in the appendix: Dependency on coordinates.

Five dimensional algebra

In one dimension lower, AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence, properties of two dimensional QFT [3] are guided by Virasoro algebra whose investigation leads to learning about the AdS_3 and the correspondence itself. However, symmetries from two dimensions are not inherited in CFT_3, therefore it is of interest to study ASAs that define field theory in 3

dimensions. The highest realised algebra is 5-dimensional subalgebra of $o(3,2)$ $o(2) \ltimes o(1,1)$ (or $a_{5,4} = b_{5,6}$ in notation of [24]). On the example we present, it is realised via constant $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ which means Ts are automatically conserved. The additional KVs that form the algebra are two new linearly combined KVs

$$\chi^{(1)} = \xi^{(6)} - \frac{1}{2}\xi^{(3)} \qquad \chi^{(2)} = \xi^{(5)} - \xi^{(4)} \tag{36}$$

which conserve $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} c & c & 0 \\ c & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{37}$$

Permutation of the KVs that define L. rotations in new KVs leads to two new matrices

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & ic \\ 0 & ic & -c \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -c & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

for the new KVs

$$\chi^{(1)} = 2i\xi^{(6)} + \xi^{(5)} \qquad \chi^{(2)} = \xi^{(3)} + i\xi^{(4)} \tag{39}$$

and

$$\chi^{(1)} = \xi^{(5)} - \xi^{(3)} \qquad \chi^{(2)} = \xi^{(6)} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{(4)} \tag{40}$$

respectively. If we name the generators

$$P_0 = -\xi^{(0)}, P_1 = \xi^{(1)}, P_2 = \xi^{(2)}, F = \xi^{(6)}, K_1 = \xi^{(3)}, K_2 = \xi^{(4)}, L_3 = \xi^{(5)}, \tag{41}$$

we find that they arrange in the subalgebra of the similitude algebra sim(2,1), which is one of the largest subalgebras of the $o(3,2)$. Namely, we obtain "$a_{5,4}$" subalgebra $(F + \frac{1}{2}K_2, -K_1 + L_3, P_0, P_1, P_2)$ that belongs to the 3 dimensional extended Poincare algebra

$$[\xi^d, \xi^t_j] = -\xi^t_j \tag{42}$$

$$[\xi^t_l, L_{ij}] = -(\eta_{li}\xi^t_j - \eta_{lj}\xi^t_i) \tag{43}$$

$$[L_{ij}, L_{mj}] = L_{im}. \tag{44}$$

Further we consider lower dimensional subalgebras of $o(3,2)$ that admit nontrivial $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$.

Four dimensional algebras

The second largest boundary ASAs are 4 dimensional as it is the case for the ASA of MKR which we have seen above. Subalgebras of $o(3,2)$ that are also four dimensional include $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrices kappa

1.

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{2x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c}{x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{c}{2x} \end{pmatrix} \tag{45}$$

that conserves 2 dimensional extended Poincare algebra which consists of 2Ts, L. rotation and D, $\xi^{(0)}, \xi^{(2)}, \xi^{(4)}, \xi^d$;

2.

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}=\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c(t^2+2x^2)}{3(t^2-x^2)^{3/2}} & \frac{ctx}{(t^2-x^2)^{3/2}} & 0 \\ \frac{ctx}{(t^2-x^2)^{3/2}} & -\frac{c(2t^2+x^2)}{3(t^2-x^2)^{3/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c}{t\sqrt{t^2-xr}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

which conserves D, SCT $\left(ty, xy, \frac{1}{2}\left(t^2-x^2+y^2\right)\right)$, rotations and Ts in the y direction;

3. $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ that conserves 3 SCTs and rotations analogously to MKR case with Ts interchanged with SCTs:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{11}^{(1)} &= -\frac{\left(t^4+4\left(x^2+y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)c}{\left(t^2-x^2-y^2\right)^3} \\
\gamma_{12}^{(1)} &= \frac{3tx\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{\left(t^2-x^2-y^2\right)^3} \\
\gamma_{13}^{(1)} &= \frac{3ty\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{\left(t^2-x^2-y^2\right)^3} \\
\gamma_{22}^{(1)} &= -\frac{\left(t^4+2\left(5x^2-y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)c}{2\left(t^2-x^2-y^2\right)^3} \\
\gamma_{23}^{(1)} &= -\frac{6t^2\sqrt{x}yc}{\left(t^2-x^2-y^2\right)^3} \\
\gamma_{33}^{(1)} &= -\frac{\left(t^4-2\left(x^2-5y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)c}{2\left(t^2-x^2-y^2\right)^3}
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where the remaining components of $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ are zero.

The subalgebras above are formed by the KVs of $o(3,2)$ from (21) to (26), while the further solutions with four dimensional subalgebras we list in the appendix, where we classify them according to the original KVs and according to the classification in [24]. The latter classification requires identification of KVs using the linear combinations of KVs. In the following chapter, we consider global solutions.

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR ASYMPTOTIC SYMMETRY ALGEBRAS

In this chapter we build global solutions from particular asymptotic symmetry solutions. We first consider the solutions that belong to highest dimensional ASAs.

Global solution from five dimensional algebra

To build a global solution from the solution that belongs to five dimensional ASA from the section "Five dimensional algebra" we consider the ansatz metric

$$ds^2=dr^2+(-1+cf(r))dx_i^2+2cf(r)dx_idx_j+(1+cf(r))dx_j^2+dx_k^2. \tag{48}$$

It solves the Bach equation when $x_i=t, x_j=y, x_k=y$ and

$$f(r)=c_1+c_2r+c_3r^2+c_4r^3 \tag{49}$$

while for c_3 and c_4 equal to zero, the metric is Ricci flat. This is the case when the line element is (5)$\times\rho^2$ in which $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ is (37)$\cdot c_2$ and all higher terms in the FG expansion are set to zero. Due to conformal invariance we can multiply

the line element (48) with $\frac{1}{r^2}$ to obtain the form (5) (taking $r \to \rho$) exactly and compute the response functions [9] Brown–York stress energy tensor and partially massless response (PMR)

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -cc_4 & -cc_4 & 0 \\ -cc_4 & -cc_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} cc_3 & cc_3 & 0 \\ cc_3 & cc_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{50}$$

respectively. The choice of c_3 and c_4 in the metric dictates whether response functions will vanish. The charges [9] associated with the timelike KV (1,0,0) and the KV (0,1,0), are $-2cc_4$ per square unit of AdS radius, while the charge associated with the KV (0,0,1) vanishes. The charges associated with the KVs $(t - \frac{x}{2}, -\frac{t}{2} + x, y)$ and $(-y, y, -t - x)$ are $cc_4(t + x)$ and zero, respectively. The solution is not conformally flat however Weyl squared and therefore the entropy are equal to zero, while polynomial invariants are finite. It defines a geon or pp-wave solution, that have been studied in [29], [23], [16] and recently discussed in relation to the instability of AdS spacetime [13].

Global solution from four dimensional algebras

Analogous geon solution can be obtained as well from the lower dimensional subalgebras for the constant $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$, and $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that depend on the coordinates of the boundary manifold. Let us consider first the constant case.

Constant $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

Analogous ansatz as in five dimensional subalgebra of (48) for $x_i = t, x_j = y, x_i = x$ leads to $f(r)$ that solves Bach equation with $f(r) = c_1 + c_2 r + c_3 r^2 + c_4 r^3$. Setting $c_1 = 0$ and multiplying (48) with $\frac{1}{r^2}$ leads to FG form of (48) from which we read out the matrix

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} cc_2 & 0 & cc_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ cc_2 & 0 & cc_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{51}$$

It corresponds to $a^b_{4,10}$ group from [24] and belongs to similitude $sim(2,1)$ algebra described in the appendix: "Patera et al. classification". Its response functions read

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -cc_4 & 0 & -cc_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -cc_4 & 0 & -cc_4 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} cc_3 & 0 & cc_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ cc_3 & 0 & cc_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{52}$$

The charges corresponding to KVs, defined with linear combinations of (21)-(26), are $-2(-1+b)c(t+y)c_4$ for $F - bK_2$ KV, $-2cc_4$ for P_0, zero for P_1 and $2cc_4$ for P_2.

The similar form of the solution (48) leads to the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & cc_2 & 0 \\ cc_2 & 0 & -cc_2 \\ 0 & -cc_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{53}$$

for which the allowed coefficients that solve the Bach equation in $f(r)$ are c_1 and c. Since the higher coefficients are those responsible for the existence of the response functions, they as well as the charges in this example vanish.

$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on two coordinates

Using the analogous procedure as above, global solution can be also obtained from four dimensional algebra that depends on the two coordinates of $\partial\mathcal{M}$ starting from the KVs $\chi_1 = a(-P_0 + P_2)$, $\chi_2 = P_1$, $\chi_3 = L_3 - K_1$ that conserve

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \cdot b(t-y) & 0 & -c_1 \cdot b(t-y) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c_1 \cdot b(t-y) & 0 & c_1 \cdot b(t-y) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{54}$$

and solving the (18) for one further KV.

1. Choice of $\chi_4 = F + K_2 + \kappa(-P_0 - P_2)$ leads to $b(t-y) = b_1 \cdot e^{\frac{t-y}{2\epsilon}}$. This algebra belongs to the $a_{4,12}$ algebra from [24] which contains the KVs $\{F + K_2 + \epsilon(P_0 + P_2), -K_1 + L_3, P_0 - P_2, P_1\}$ (with $\epsilon = -\kappa$) and leads to $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{c}_1 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 & 0 & -\mathrm{c}_1 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathrm{c}_1 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 & 0 & \mathrm{c}_1 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{55}$$

and response functions

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -c_4 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 & 0 & c_4 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 & 0 & -c_4 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} c_3 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 & 0 & -c_3 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -c_3 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 & 0 & c_3 e^{\frac{t-y}{2\kappa}} b_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{56}$$

2. For $\chi_4 = F$, the function $b(t-y)$ takes the form $b(t-y) = \frac{b}{t-y}$
3. $\chi_4 = -P_0 - P_2$ makes $b \cdot (t-y)$ to be constant
4. $\chi_4 = F - K_2$ leads to $b(t-y) = \frac{b_1}{(t-y)^{3/2}}$
5. $\chi_4 = K_2$ provides $b(t-y) = \frac{b_1}{(t-y)^2}$
6. $\chi_4 = F + cK_2$ gives $b(t-y) = b_1 \cdot (t-y)^{\frac{1-2c}{-1+c}}$ This implies that an arbitrary profile $b \cdot (t-y)$ breaks $a_{5,4}$ into $L_3 - K_1, P_1, -P_0 + P_2$. If we focus on studying the profile in (54) when $b(t-y) = (t-y)^\beta$ one obtains beside the known conserved KVs additionally:
7. $\chi_4 = F$ when $\beta = -1$
8. $\chi_4 = K_2$ for $\beta = -2$
9. and $\chi_4 = F + \frac{1+\beta}{2+\beta} K_2$.

Global solution from three dimensional subalgebra

We give two examples for lower dimensional ASAs that lead to global solution. The first one is dependent on one coordinate on the boundary and the second one on two. The ansatz for the global solution is the metric

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + (-1 + b(x)f(r))dt^2 + dx^2 + 2b(x)f(r)dtdy + (1 + b(x)f(r))dy^2. \tag{57}$$

It satisfies Bach equation when the function $f(r)$ takes one of the following values

1. $f = c_1 + c_2 r + c_3 r^2 + c_4 r^3$ for $b = a_1 + a_2 x$,
2. $f = c_1 + c_2 r$ for $b = a_1 + a_2 x + a_3 x^2 + a_4 x^3$.

Here we can read out the γ^i_{ij} $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ matrices. In the first case the response functions vanish if we set $c_3 = c_4 = 0$, while the second case has vanishing response functions and charges. Corresponding $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrix defines ASA

$$\chi_1 = (0, 0, 1), \tag{58}$$
$$\chi_2 = (t - y, x, -t + y), \tag{59}$$
$$\chi_3 = (1, 0, 0), \tag{60}$$

formed by two Ts and combination of the boost in $t - y$ plane and the dilatation or the $a^c_{3,19}, c \neq 0, \pm 1, -2$ for KVs $P_0, P_2, F - cK_2$ with $c = 1$ in the notation of [24]. The response functions are

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -xc_4 & 0 & -xc_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -xc_4 & 0 & -xc_4 \end{pmatrix}, \; P_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -xc_4 & 0 & -xc_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -xc_4 & 0 & -xc_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{61}$$

while the conserved charges of the $(1,0,0)$, $(0,0,1)$, $(t-y,x,-t+y)$ are $2xc_4$, $2xc_4$ and zero, respectively. Analogously as for the five dimensional subalgebra, one can obtain the Ricci flat solution, when $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$.
The following example leads to a global solution that can be brought to double holography-like form. The ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = dr^2 + [-1 + b(t+x)f(r)]\, dt^2 + 2b(t+x)f(r)dtdx \\ + [1 + b(t+x)f(r)]\, dx^2 + dy^2 \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

solves the Bach equation when $f(r) = c_1 + c_2 r + c_3 r^2 + c_4 r^4$ and leads to $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 b(t+x) & c_1 b(t+x) & 0 \\ c_1 b(t+x) & c_1 b(t+x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{63}$$

The corresponding linearly combined KVs are

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= (-1,1,0) \\ \chi_2 &= (0,0,1) \\ \chi_3 &= (-y,y,-t-x) \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

while the response functions

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -c_4 b(t+x) & -c_4 b(t+x) & 0 \\ -c_4 b(t+x) & -c_4 b(t+x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad P_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} c_3 b(t+x) & c_3 b(t+x) & 0 \\ c_3 b(t+x) & c_3 b(t+x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{65}$$

lead to all three charges to vanish. To bring the solution to the double holography-like form we define the function $b(t+x) = \frac{2}{t+x}$ which brings to $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves one additional KV, $\xi^{(6)}$. We transform the coordinates $t \to \xi + \tau$ and $x \to \chi - \tau$ to obtain

$$ds^2 = \frac{4r d\chi^2}{\chi} + \frac{4r d\chi dy}{\chi} + dr^2 - 4d\tau d\chi + dy^2 \tag{66}$$

which upon transformation $r \to \chi\eta$ reads

$$ds^2 = 4\eta d\chi^2 + (\chi d\eta + \eta d\chi)^2 - 4d\tau d\chi + 4\eta d\chi dy + dy^2. \tag{67}$$

One can analogously find the solution that considers function $b(t-y)$.

Global solution dependent on three coordinates on the manifold

With an ansatz

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + [-1 + b(t+x+y)f(r)]\, dt^2 + dx^2 + 2b(t+x+y)f(r)dtdy + dy^2. \tag{68}$$

we obtain the solution to Bach equations when $f(r) = c_1 + c_2 r + c_3 r^2 + c_4 r^3$ and $b(t+x+y) = b_1 + b_2 \cdot (t+x+y)$. The response functions are of analogous form as above

$$\tau_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -b_1 - (t+x+y)b_2 & 0 & -b_1 - (t+x+y)b_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_1 - (t+x+y)b_2 & 0 & -b_1 - (t+x+y)b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{69}$$

$$P_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} (b_1 + (t+x+y)b_2)\, c_4 & 0 & (b_1 + (t+x+y)b_2)\, c_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (b_1 + (t+x+y)b_2)\, c_4 & 0 & (b_1 + (t+x+y)b_2)\, c_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{70}$$

and they conserve $\chi^{(1)} = (-1,1,0)$ with the corresponding charge $-2c_3 \cdot [c_1 + c_2 \cdot (t+x+y)]$, and $\chi^{(2)} = (-1,0,1)$ whose charge vanishes. The KVs form Abelian $o(2)$ algebra.

CONCLUSION

We have considered ASA of the CG holography in four dimensions. In the leading order of the Killing equation expansion with respect to holographic coordinate ρ the ASA is equal to ASA of EG, conformal algebra $o(3,2)$ [10]. When we take into consideration the subleading order of the Killing equation (18), ASA can be classified according to subalgebras of $o(3,2)$. This classification was done depending on whether the non-trivial $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ is realised (exists) for certain subalgebra. The largest realised subalgebra is $o(2) \ltimes o(1,1)$ (or $a_{5,4}$ from [24]) and it contains 5 KVs.

We have constructed global from the asymptotic solutions for the highest $o(2) \ltimes o(1,1)$ subalgebra and obtained geon or pp wave solution with non-vanishing charges, however vanishing entropy. The size of ASA or coordinates on which corresponding $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ depends, do not impose restriction on the construction of these global solutions. We have constructed geon solutions also from four (studied as well in in [15] and in [18]), three and two dimensional ASA where in the latest case $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ depend on all the coordinates on the manifold. Geons can be described as smooth horizonless geometries, and it was shown in [8] that they, can be connected to an onset of the super radiance instability, which translates into connecting the onlinear, weakly turbulent instability of AdS to super radiant instability of Kerr-AdS.

The ASAs of MKR and rotating black hole are four $R \times o(3)$ and two dimensional $o(2)$ algebras, respectively, and give non-vanishing charges and entropy considered in [9]. Analogously, the solutions with 5 and 4 KVs in ASA can be presented with one of the above $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrices, while all solutions of CG can be classified according to the above. In lower dimensions, asymptotic symmetry algebras have been studied in [28] and for the non-AdS higher spin gauge theories in [25].

The possibilities for further research include search for the global solution, one can use one of the ASAs to model the final ansatz that is inserted into Bach equation, however depending on the ansatz, further restrictions can be needed. One may as well further analyse the known solutions. That includes computation of the thermodynamcal quantities as charges, entropy and mass in the holography with or without $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$. This classifies thermodynamical quantities. The concept of mass in gravity theory measures the wrapping of the space which has for the AdS space been considered in [2, 5] and for the dS space in [1].

One can as well compute the higher point functions taking as a background not only flat space or $R \times S^2$ but include the linear term in the background metric. Two point functions would then, for example, be classified according to the above classification. Taking into account the perturbed background, it would also be interesting to see whether the existence of the general linear term as a part of the background implies non-unitarity of the theory, or unitarity depends on the choice of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ term.

Analgously to computation of the higher point functions with the background metric which includes the linear term, one could consider the partition function [14] with these backgrounds and obtain their classification.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Daniel Grumiller and Robert McNees for the guidance during the project, as well as in the analysis of the five dimensional pp-wave solution, and Daniel to pointing out the appearance of the double-holography like solution. We would also like to thank Florian Preis for the useful discussions in the analysis of MKR solution particularly for indicating the existence of transformation to the global solution with zero mass which leads to vanishing $\gamma^{(2)}_{ij}$ in the FG expansion. We would also like to thank Daniel, Robert and Florian for useful discussions during this research. The project was funded by the START project Y 435-N16, project I 952-N16 and P 26328-N27 by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) and by the Forschungsstipendien 2015 by Taechnische Universitaet Wien.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX A: DEPENDENCY ON COORDINATES

We consider the form of the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrix depending on its components, following with the 2. (a) and 2. (b) cases in subsection "Analysis of the leading order conformal Killing equation".

General form of the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ can depend on all the coordinates on $\partial\mathcal{M}$ which a priori does not satisfy (18).

Translations. First we consider translational KVs. They are conserved if

$$\partial_k \gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = 0, \tag{71}$$

for $i,j,k=(t,x,y)\in\partial\mathcal{M}$, which implies that $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on three co-ordinates cannot conserve any translational KV. For translational KV to be conserved $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ needs to be constant in the corresponding coordinate. For example, $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves ∂_x has components $f_{ij}(y,t)$ for $i,j=x,y,t$ components. Analogously, to conserve the translations in two directions, $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ must not depend on the coordinates in these directions, while the constant $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrix automatically conserves translations in all three directions.

Lorentz rotations. The conservation of the Lorentz rotational KVs exhibits similar behaviour. For the diagonal $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ to conserve the L.rotation around the y,x,t directions respectively, i.e. two boosts and rotations the form of the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ needs to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(y)f_2\left(-t^2+x^2\right) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -f_1(y)f_2\left(-t^2+x^2\right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 2f_1(y)f_2\left(-t^2+x^2\right)\end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \xi^{(3)}, \tag{72}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x)f_2\left(-t^2+y^2\right) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 2f_1(x)f_2\left(-t^2+y^2\right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -f_1(x)f_2\left(-t^2+y^2\right)\end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \xi^{(4)}, \tag{73}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2f_1(t)f_2\left(x^2+y^2\right) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & f_1(t)f_2\left(x^2+y^2\right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & f_1(t)f_2\left(x^2+y^2\right)\end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \xi^{(5)}. \tag{74}$$

Here, we see asymptotic behaviour of components (analogous behaviour is present for the off-diagonal components of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$.) When $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ is allowed to depend only on two coordinates, the only conserved L. rotational KVs can be the one with f_2 dependent on those coordinates, while f_1 has to be constant. For $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on one coordinate, the only conserved L. rotational KV is the one with f_1 dependent on the corresponding coordinate, while f_2 has to be constant. The ratio of the $\gamma^{(1)}_{11}:\gamma^{(1)}_{22}:\gamma^{(1)}_{33}$ needs to be of the form (72), (73) to (74).

One can simultaneously conserve two or three L. rotations. Consider the most restrictive case for $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves three L. rotations. Example of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves 3 L. rotations is

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}=\begin{pmatrix} c\left(2t^2+x^2+y^2\right) & -3ctx & -3cty\\ -3ctx & c\left(t^2+2x^2-y^2\right) & 3cxy\\ -3cty & 3cxy & c\left(t^2-x^2+2y^2\right)\end{pmatrix} \tag{75}$$

for c arbitrary parameter.

Dilatations. Most general form of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves dilatations contains components of the form

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}=\frac{b_{ij}\left(\frac{x_j}{x_i},\frac{x_k}{x_i}\right)}{x_i}+\frac{c_{ij}\left(\frac{x_i}{x_j},\frac{x_k}{x_j}\right)}{x_j}+\frac{d_{ij}\left(\frac{x_i}{x_k},\frac{x_j}{x_k}\right)}{x_k}, \tag{76}$$

for $i,j,x=t,x,y$, $i\neq j\neq k$. From which we see that for $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on two coordinates, e.g. x_i and x_j consist from b_{ij} and c_{ij} functions dependent on $\frac{x_j}{x_i}$ and $\frac{x_i}{x_j}$ respectively. $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on one coordinate contains the components of the form $\frac{f_{ij}}{x_i}$ for i the coordinate on which $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ depends.

Special conformal transformations (SCTs). Examples of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrices that conserve SCTs are: $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves the KV $\left(\frac{1}{2}(t^2+x^2+y^2),tx,ty\right)$ is

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}=\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{f_1(x^2+y^2)f\left(\frac{y}{x},Log\left[-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right]\right)}{3txy} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{f_1(x^2-2y^2)f\left(\frac{y}{x},Log\left[-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right]\right)}{3txy} & \frac{f_1 f\left(\frac{y}{x},Log\left[-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right]\right)}{t}\\ 0 & \frac{f_1 f\left(\frac{y}{x},Log\left[-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right]\right)}{t} & \frac{f_1(2x^2-y^2)f\left(\frac{y}{x},Log\left[-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right]\right)}{3txy}\end{pmatrix} \tag{77}$$

for $f_1 = e^{ArcTanh\frac{(t^2+x^2+y^2)}{(t^2-x^2-y^2)}}$. When the KV $\left(tx, xy, \frac{1}{2}\left(t^2-x^2+y^2\right)\right)$ is conserved by the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\left(t^2+2x^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x} & \frac{f\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x} & 0 \\ \frac{f\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x} & -\frac{\left(2t^2+x^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t},-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\left(t^2-x^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x} \end{pmatrix} \tag{78}$$

while for $\left(tx, \frac{1}{2}\left(t^2+x^2-y^2\right), xy\right)$ the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ is

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\left(t^2+2y^2\right)f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2y} & 0 & \frac{f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{\left(t^2-y^2\right)f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{t} & 0 \\ \frac{f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{t} & 0 & -\frac{\left(2t^2+y^2\right)f\left(\frac{y}{t}\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2y} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{79}$$

Here, we have for simplicity taken one of the components of $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ to be zero.

APPENDIX B: CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO THE GENERATORS OF THE CONFORMAL GROUP

Existance of the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ for certain set of KVs is determined by closing of their subalgebra which we can show on an example. Assume we have one KV of translations, one of L. rotations and one of SCTs. The Poisson bracket among SCT and T closes in $[\xi_i^{sct}, \xi_j^t] = 2(\eta_{ij}\xi^d - L_{ij})$ which for $i \neq j$ means $[\xi^{sct}, \xi_j^t] = -2L_{ij}$. Therefore, L. rotation in the direction i and j also needs to be satisfied. Since $[\xi_i^t, L_{ij}] = \xi_j^t$ and $[\xi_i^{sct}, L_{ij}] = \xi_j^{sct}$, in order for algebra to close, we need ξ_i^t and ξ_j^{sct} that lead to a subalgebra with six KVs $\xi_i^t, \xi_j^t, \xi_i^{sct}, \xi_j^{sct}, L_{ij}, \xi^d$.

In the following table, Table 1, we present the realised subalgebras. The first row lists the KVs starting from Ts, to L. rotations, Ds and SCTs, and their combinations, respectively. The second row writes whether the KVs close into existing subalgebra, while the third row presents the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrix of the asymptotic solution. The fourth row shows the number of KVs.

From the above table $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ that conserves 1 T and 1 R, for T in t direction and rotation in x, y plane is

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x^2+y^2\right)\right) & ax+by & ay-bx \\ ax+by & \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x^2+y^2\right)\right) & 0 \\ ay-bx & 0 & \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(x^2+y^2\right)\right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{80}$$

while $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ that conserves y translation, rotation around y axis, D and SCT in y direction is

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\left(t^2+2x^2\right)c}{3((t-x)(t+x))^{3/2}} & \frac{txc}{((t-x)(t+x))^{3/2}} & 0 \\ \frac{txc}{((t-x)(t+x))^{3/2}} & -\frac{\left(2t^2+x^2\right)c}{3((t-x)(t+x))^{3/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c}{3\sqrt{(t-x)(t+x)}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{81}$$

$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ that realises two Ts in the x and y directions and one L. R around the t direction is

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2f(t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & f(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f(t) \end{pmatrix} \tag{82}$$

TABLE I: Classification according to original KVs

Algebra	Name/existence(closing)	Realization	
1 T	$\exists$	$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}(x,y) & \gamma_{12}(x,y) & \gamma_{13}(x,y) \\ \gamma_{12}(x,y) & \gamma_{22}(x,y) & \gamma_{23}(x,y) \\ \gamma_{13}(x,y) & \gamma_{23}(x,y) & \gamma_{11}(x,y)-\gamma_{22}(x,y) \end{pmatrix}$	1
2 T	$\exists$	$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}(x) & \gamma_{12}(x) & \gamma_{13}(x) \\ \gamma_{12}(x) & \gamma_{22}(x) & \gamma_{23}(x) \\ \gamma_{13}(x) & \gamma_{23}(x) & \gamma_{11}(x)-\gamma_{22}(x) \end{pmatrix}$	2
3 T	$\exists$	$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \\ c_2 & c_4 & c_5 \\ c_3 & c_5 & c_1-c_4 \end{pmatrix}$	3
1 T + 1 R	$[\xi^t_i, L_{ij}] = \eta_{li}\xi^t_j - \eta_{lj}\xi^t_i$, $\nexists$ for $l = i$ or j, $\exists$ for $l \neq i \neq j$	(example): eq. (80)	2
1T + D	$\exists$	$\exists$: see eq. (76)	2
1 T + 1 R + D	$\exists$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} & \frac{xc_2+yc_3}{x^2+y^2} & \frac{yc_2-xc_3}{x^2+y^2} \\ \frac{xc_2+yc_3}{x^2+y^2} & \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} & 0 \\ \frac{yc_2-xc_3}{x^2+y^2} & 0 & \frac{c_1}{2\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \end{pmatrix}$ There exist analogous matrices for the translations in the two remaining directions that depend, for the translation in the l direction on the coordinates $i \neq l$ and $j \neq l$	3
1T + D +1 SCT	$[\xi^{sct}_i, \xi^t_j] = 2(\eta_{ij}\xi^d - L_{ij})$, $\exists$ for $i = j$; sl(2)	(example) $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{t} & -\frac{3xf\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{t^2+2x^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{3xf\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{t^2+2x^2} & \frac{\left(2t^2+x^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{t^3+2x^2t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\left(x^2-t^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{t^3+2x^2t} \end{pmatrix}$ example for $i = j = y$	3
1 T + 1 R + D+1 SCT	$\exists$: $[\xi^t_i, \xi^{sct}_i f] = 2\xi^d$, $[\xi^t_l, L_{ij}] = 0$, $[\xi^{sct}_l, L_{ij}] = 0$ for $l \neq i$ and $l \neq j$; sl(2)+u(1)	example for $\xi^t_y, \xi^{sct}_y, L_{xt}, D$, see eq. (88)	4
2 T + 1 R	$\exists$: 2d Poincare	$\exists$ see eq. (82)	3
2 T + 1 R + D	$\exists$: 2d Poincare +D	$\exists$ see eq. (46)	3

and $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that realises 3 R and D is

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{11} = \frac{\left(2t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{2t^3\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2}\right)^{3/2}} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{12} = \frac{3xc}{2t^2\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2}\right)^{3/2}}$$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{13} = -\frac{3yc}{2t^2\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2}\right)^{3/2}} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{22} = \frac{\left(t^2+2x^2-y^2\right)\sqrt{-\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)}c}{2\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2}$$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{23} = \frac{3xyc}{2t^3\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2}\right)^{3/2}} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{33} = \frac{\sqrt{-\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)}\left(t^2-x^2+2y^2\right)c}{2\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \tag{83}$$

TABLE II: Continuation of Table 1

2 T + D	∃	∃ $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c1}{x} & \frac{c2}{x} & \frac{c3}{x} \\ \frac{c2}{x} & \frac{c4}{x} & \frac{c5}{x} \\ \frac{c3}{x} & \frac{c5}{x} & \frac{c1-c4}{x} \end{pmatrix}$	3
2 T + 1 R + D + 2 SCT	∃	∄	5
3 T + 1R	∃: MKR	∃ $\gamma_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 2c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$	4
3 T + 3 R	∃	∄	6
3 T + 3 R + D	∃	∄	7
3 T + D	∃	∄	4
3 T + 3 R	∃	∄	6
3 T + 3 R + D	∃	∄	7
3 T + D	∃	∄	4
1 R	∃	∃ see eq. (80)	1
3 R	∃	∃ see eq. (75)	3
1 R+D	∃	$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t^2}\right)}{t} & \frac{ax+by}{t^2} & \frac{ay-bx}{t^2} \\ \frac{ax+by}{t^2} & \frac{f\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t^2}\right)}{2t} & 0 \\ \frac{ay-bx}{t^2} & 0 & \frac{f\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t^2}\right)}{2t} \end{pmatrix}$	2
3 R+D	∃	∃	4
3 R+D	∃	∃ see eq. (83)	4
1 R + 2 SCT	∃	∃ see eq. (84)	3
1 R + 3 SCT	∃	∃ see eq. (47)	4
3R+3SCT	∃	∄	6
1 R+D+2 SCT	∃	∃ see eq. (85)	4
1 R+D +3 SCT	∃	∄	5
3 R+D +3 SCT	∃	∄	7
1 SCT	∃	∃ see eq. (86)	1
2 SCT	∃	∃ see eq. (89)	2
3 SCT	∃		3
1 SCT+D	∃	∃ see eq. (90)	1
2 SCT+D	∃	∃ see eq. (91)	2
3 SCT+D	∃		3

The subalgebra with 1 R and 2 SCTs (e.g. rotation and SCTs in x and y direction) defines $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{11}=\frac{\left(t^4+4\left(x^2+y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)f\left(\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{12t^3}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{12}=-\frac{x\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)f\left(\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{4t^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{13}=-\frac{y\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)f\left(\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{4t^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{22}=\frac{\left(t^4+2\left(5x^2-y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)f\left(\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{24t^3}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{23}=-\frac{y\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)f\left(\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{4t^2}. \tag{84}$$

1 R, 2 SCTs and D are realised in the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{12}=-\frac{x\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{4\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{11}=\frac{\left(t^4+4\left(x^2+y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)c}{12t\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{13}=-\frac{y\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{4\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{22}=\frac{\left(t^4+2\left(5x^2-y^2\right)t^2+\left(x^2+y^2\right)^2\right)c}{24t\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{23}=\frac{txyc}{2\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2}, \tag{85}$$

here we solve (17) with $\xi^{(0)i}=D^i$ and $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ (84) (that has function f) for f. Possible $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that realises 1 SCT (in y direction) is given with

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{11}=-\frac{\left(t^2+2x^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{12}=\frac{f\left(\frac{x}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{t}$$

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{22}=-\frac{\left(2t^2+x^2\right)f\left(\frac{x}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{33}=\frac{(t-x)(t+x)f\left(\frac{x}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2x}, \tag{86}$$

$\gamma^{(1)}_{13}=\gamma^{(1)}_{23}=0$, Due to $f\left(\frac{x}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)$ we can insert (86) in (18) and find the further KVs. (To avoid clutter we have written $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ (86) that is not of the most general form, the most general form of the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ is given in [17].) $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves SCT in x direction is of the similar form as (86)

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{11}=-\frac{\left(t^2+2y^2\right)f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2y} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{13}=\frac{f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{t}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{22}=\frac{(t-y)(t+y)f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2y} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{33}=-\frac{\left(2t^2+y^2\right)f\left(\frac{y}{t},\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{t}\right)}{3t^2y}, \tag{87}$$

for $\gamma^{(1)}_{12}$ and $\gamma^{(1)}_{23}$ equal to zero. The $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that conserves SCT in t direction, computed using analogous simplifications

as $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for SCT in x and y direction has the form

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{11} = -\frac{e^{\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2-x^2-y^2}\right)}\left(x^2+y^2\right) f\left(\frac{y}{x}, \log\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right)\right)}{3txy}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{22} = \frac{e^{\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2-x^2-y^2}\right)}\left(x^2-2y^2\right) f\left(\frac{y}{x}, \log\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right)\right)}{3txy}$$
$$\gamma_{23} = \frac{e^{\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{t^2+x^2+y^2}{t^2-x^2-y^2}\right)} f\left(\frac{y}{x}, \log\left(-\frac{-t^2+x^2+y^2}{x}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{t^2}} \tag{88}$$

$\gamma^{(1)}_{12} = 0$, $\gamma^{(1)}_{13} = 0$. Comparison of (86), (87) and (88) acknowledges Minkowski background metric. $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that realises 2 SCTs (SCT in y and t direction) is

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{12} = -\frac{(t+y)\left(x^2+(t+y)^2\right)}{2x^2} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{11} = \frac{\left(x^2+(t+y)^2\right)^2}{4x^3}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{13} = -\frac{(t-x+y)(t+x+y)\left(x^2+(t+y)^2\right)}{4x^3} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{22} = \frac{(t+y)^2}{x}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{23} = \frac{(t+y)(t-x+y)(t+x+y)}{2x^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{33} = \frac{(t-x+y)^2(t+x+y)^2}{4x^3} \tag{89}$$

while $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for 1 SCT and one D (SCT in y direction) is

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{11} = \frac{t^2 y\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right) f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{2x^2\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{12} = -\frac{ty\left(3t^2+x^2+y^2\right) f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{4x\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{13} = -\frac{t\left(t^4+6y^2t^2-x^4+y^4\right) f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{8x^2\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{22} = \frac{t^2 y f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2}$$
$$\gamma^{(1)}_{23} = \frac{t^2\left(t^2-x^2+3y^2\right) f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{4x\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \qquad \gamma^{(1)}_{33} = \frac{t^2 y\left(t^2-x^2+y^2\right) f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)}{2x^2\left(-t^2+x^2+y^2\right)^2} \tag{90}$$

and $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for 2 SCTs in x and y directions and D reads

$$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y\left(t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{2(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} & -\frac{xy\left(3t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{4t(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} & -\frac{\left(t^4+6y^2t^2-x^4+y^4\right)c}{8t(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} \\ -\frac{xy\left(3t^2+x^2+y^2\right)c}{4t(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} & \frac{x^2yc}{(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} & \frac{x\left(t^2-x^2+3y^2\right)c}{4(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} \\ -\frac{\left(t^4+6y^2t^2-x^4+y^4\right)c}{8t(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} & \frac{x\left(t^2-x^2+3y^2\right)c}{4(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} & \frac{y\left(t^2-x^2+y^2\right)c}{2(-t^2+x^2+y^2)^2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{91}$$

The largest realised subalgebra consisted of original KVs of $o(3,2)$ is four dimensional. That allows us to infer $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for each of the KVs, and to anticipate form of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for subalgebra of $o(3,2)$ classified according to Patera et al. Classification [24].

APPENDIX C: PATERA ET AL. CLASSIFICATION

Here, we classify subalgebras of $o(3,2)$ for which (18) has a $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ solution. Algebraically, subalgebras of $o(3,2)$ have been classified in Patera et al. [24] (we also follow their notation for groups). They are:

1. sim(2,1) – 7 dimensional similitude algebra, which realises 5 dimensional subalgebra
2. opt(2,1) – 7 dimensional optical algebra, which realises 5 dimensional subalgebra
3. $o(3) \oplus o(2)$ – 4 dimensional maximal compact algebra

4. $o(2) \oplus o(2,1)$ – 4 dimensional algebra

5. $o(2,2)$ – 6 dimensional algebra

6. $o(3,1)$ – 6 dimensional Lorentz algebra which does not contain subalgbra with 5 generators.

7. $o(2,1)$ – 3 dimensional irreducible algebra

To identify the generators of the subalgebras we linearly combine original generators of $o(3,2)$ (28,30). We consider which subalgebras have $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ and focus on $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for the subalgebras with 7,6,5 and 4 generators.

sim(2,1)

Sim(2,1) is an algebra that consists of the seven generators that can be identified with (41), which is not unique identification of the generators. The realised subalgebras are classified in the following table. The first column denotes the name of the subalgebra, the second and the third denote the name and generators as in [24], while the fourth column defines $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$. The definition of subalgebras according to Patera includes two subscripts. The first one is the dimension of the subalgebra and the second one enumerates the subalgebras of the same dimension. In each of the subalgebras, first are listed the decomposable then undecomposable ones. The parameter in the superscript denotes that algebra depends on a parameter where the range of the parameter is denoted as $b \geq 0, \neq 1$ for $a^b_{4,10}$. When one range is written, it is equal under $O(3,2)$ and the identity component of the corresponding maximal subgroup (here $sim(2,1)$). For the range which is larger under the maximal subgroup than under $O(3,2)$, the larger range is written with the square brackets, e.g. for $a^{\epsilon}_{4,8}$ it is written $\epsilon = 1[\epsilon = \pm 1]$ which means $a^{-1}_{4,8}$, conjugate to $a^1_{4,8}$ under $O(3,2)$. Further details can be found in [24].

TABLE III: Classification according to [24], similitude algebra

Realized subalgebras			
Name/ commutators	Patera name	generators	Realisation
	$a^a_{5,4}$	$F + \frac{1}{2}K_2, -K_1 + L_3,$ P_0, P_1, P_2	see eq. (38)
	$a \neq 0, \pm 1$	$a = \frac{1}{2}$	
$\mathcal{R} \oplus o(3)$	$a_{4,1} = b_{4,6}$	$P_1 \oplus \{K_2, P_0, P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{c}{2} \end{pmatrix}$
	$a_{4,2}$	$P_0 - P_2 \oplus$ $\{F - K_2; P_0 + P_2, P_1\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2c \end{pmatrix}$
MKR $\mathcal{R} \oplus o(3)$	$a_{4,3}$	$P_0 \oplus \{L_3, P_1, P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$
	$a_{4,4}$	$F \oplus \{K_1, K_2, L_3\}$	see eq. (83)
	$a_{4,5}$	$F\{K_2; P_0 - P_2\} \oplus$ $\{F - K_2, P_1\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{c}{t-y} & 0 \\ \frac{c}{t-y} & 0 & \frac{c}{y-t} \\ 0 & \frac{c}{y-t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
	$a_{4,6} = b_{4,9}$	$\{F + K_2, P_0 - P_2\} \oplus$ $\{F - K_2, P_0 + P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2c}{x} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{c}{x} \end{pmatrix}$,and (46)
	$a_{4,7}$	$L_3 - K_1, P_0 + P_2;$ $P_0 - P_2, P_1$	leads to 5 KV subalgebra for constant components of $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$

TABLE IV: Continuation of Table 3

	$a^b_{4,10} = b_{4,13}$ $b > 0, \neq 1$	$\{F - bK_2, P_0, P_1, P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{c} & 0 & \mathrm{c} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{c} & 0 & \mathrm{c} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{c} & 0 \\ \mathrm{c} & 0 & -\mathrm{c} \\ 0 & -\mathrm{c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{c} & 0 \\ \mathrm{c} & 0 & \mathrm{c} \\ 0 & \mathrm{c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that leads to 5 KV subalgebra
	$a^b_{4,11} = b_{4,13}$ $b > 0, [b \neq 0]$	$\{F + bL_3, P_0, P_1, P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{c} & i\mathrm{c} \\ \mathrm{c} & 0 & 0 \\ i\mathrm{c} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{c} & -i\mathrm{c} \\ \mathrm{c} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\mathrm{c} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\mathrm{c} & \mathrm{c} \\ 0 & \mathrm{c} & -i\mathrm{c} \end{pmatrix}$, $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ that leads to 5KV subalgebra
	$a^\epsilon_{4,12} = b_{4,14}$ $\epsilon = 1 * [\epsilon \pm 1]$	$\{F + K_2 + \epsilon(P_0 + P_2),$ $-K_1 + L_3, P_0 - P_2, P_1\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} ce^{\frac{y-t}{4\epsilon}} & 0 & -ce^{\frac{y-t}{4\epsilon}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -ce^{\frac{y-t}{4\epsilon}} & 0 & ce^{\frac{y-t}{4\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix}$
	$a_{4,13} = b_{4,15}$	$\{F - K_2, P_0 - P_2,$ $-K_1 + L_3, P_1\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{(y-t)^{3/2}} & 0 & -\frac{c}{(y-t)^{3/2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c}{(y-t)^{3/2}} & 0 & \frac{c}{(y-t)^{3/2}} \end{pmatrix}$
	$\tilde{a}_{4,14}$	$\{F, -K_1 + L_3,$ $P_1, P_0 - P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c}{y-t} & 0 & \frac{c}{y-t} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{y-t} & 0 & -\frac{c}{y-t} \end{pmatrix}$
	$a_{4,15} = b_{4,17}$	$\{F + bK_2, -K_1 + L_3,$ $P_0 - P_2, P_1\}$	$\gamma^{(1)}_{11} = c \cdot (y-t)^{\frac{1-2b}{b-1}}$, $\gamma^{(1)}_{13} = -c \cdot (y-t)^{\frac{1-2b}{b-1}}$, , $\gamma^{(1)}_{33} = c \cdot (y-t)^{\frac{1-2b}{b-1}}$ $\gamma^{(1)}_{12} = \gamma^{(1)}_{22} = 0$
	$a^a_{4,16}$ $a = 1 * [a = \pm 1]$	$\{F + \frac{1}{2}K_2; -K_1 + L_3$ $+a(P_0 + P_2), P_0 - P_2, P_1\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -c & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & -c \end{pmatrix}$, leads to subalgebra with 5 KVs
Extended 2d Poincare	$a_{4,17} = b_{4,17}$	$\{F, L_3, P_1, P_2\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c}{2t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{c}{2t} \end{pmatrix}$

The first five subalgebras, we have commented in the main text. The sixth subalgebra $a_{4,5}$ conserves $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ which depends on the difference $y-t$, analogously to dependency on one coordinate if we made transformation $z \to y-t$, for z a new coordinate. Redefining the translational KVs one may expect to obtain analogous subalgebra and $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$.

We have commented the subalgebra $a_{4,6}$ above the equation (46), while the subalgebra $a_{4,7}$ for constant $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ agrees with $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ for 5 KV subalgebras, when we add one more KV to $a_{4,7}$. The similar behaviour appears in one realization for the $a_{4,10}$ and $a_{4,11}$. Both of them contain three transitional KVs, admit constant components in $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ and lead to four different $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$, one of which admits one further KV. Additionally, $a_{4,11}$ contains $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ with imaginary value. Four subalgebras similar to $a_{4,5}$ that contain $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on $y-t$ are $a^{\epsilon}_{4,12}$, $a_{4,13}$, $\tilde{a}_{4,14}$ and $a_{4,15}$.

opt(2,1)

The generators of optical algebra can be defined with

$$W = -\frac{\xi^{(6)} + \xi^{(4)}}{2} \qquad K_1 = \frac{\xi^{(6)} - \xi^{(4)}}{2} \tag{92}$$

$$K_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\xi^{(0)} - \xi^{(2)} + \frac{(\xi^{(8)} - \xi^{(9)})}{2}\right] \qquad L_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\xi^{(0)} - \xi^{(2)} - \frac{(\xi^{(8)} - \xi^{(9)})}{2}\right] \tag{93}$$

$$M = -\sqrt{2}\xi^{(1)} \qquad Q = \frac{\xi^{(5)} - \xi^{(3)}}{2\sqrt{2}} \tag{94}$$

$$N = -(\xi^{(0)} + \xi^{(2)}). \tag{95}$$

The generators are not uniquely defined. We can automatically see permutative dependence on KVs in definition of generators, and on a result with analogously permutative structure of components in $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$. For example, W generator can be defined with $\xi^{(6)}$ dilatation and with one L. rotation. However, we can permutatively define it with $\xi^{(3)}$ or $\xi^{(5)}$ KVs of L. rotations as long as we correspondingly modify remaining KVs that define the algebra. The generators close the algebra

$$[K_1, K_2] = -L_3, \qquad [L_3, K_1] = K_2, \qquad [L_3, K_2] = -K_1, \qquad [M, Q] = -N, \qquad , \tag{96}$$

$$[K_1, M] = -\frac{1}{2}M, \qquad [K_1, Q] = \frac{1}{2}Q, \qquad [K_1, N] = 0, \qquad [K_2, M] = \frac{1}{2}Q, \tag{97}$$

$$[K_2, Q] = \frac{1}{2}M, \qquad [K_2, N] = 0 \qquad [L_3, M] = -\frac{1}{2}Q, \qquad [L_3, Q] = \frac{1}{2}M, \tag{98}$$

$$[L_3, N] = 0 \qquad [W, M] = \frac{1}{2}M, \qquad [W, Q] = \frac{1}{2}Q, \qquad [W, N] = \frac{1}{2}N. \tag{99}$$

The five realised subalgebras we write in the table

TABLE V: Classfication according to [24], optical algebra

Realised subalgebras			
Name/ commutators	Patera name	generators	realisation
Extended 2dPoincare	$b_{5,6} = a_{5,4}$	$W + aK_1, K_2 + L_3, M, Q, N$	
	$b_{4,1}$	$N \oplus \{; K_1, K_2, L_3\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{c}{x^3} & 0 & -\frac{c}{x^3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c}{x^3} & 0 & \frac{c}{x^3} \end{pmatrix}$
	$b_{4,2}$	$W \oplus \{K_1, K_2, L_3\}$	see eq. (100)
	$b_{4,3}$	L_3, Q, M, N	see eq. (101)
	$b_{4,4}$ $b > 0 * [b \neq 0]$	$W + bL_3, Q, M, N$	see eq. (102).
	$\overline{b}_{4,9} = a_{4,6}$	$\{K_1, K_2 + L_3\} \oplus \{W, N\}$	see eq. (46)
	$\overline{b}_{4,11} \sim a_{4,8}^{-1}$	$\{W - K_1 + Q$ $K_2 + L_3, M, N\}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{5c}{3\sqrt{2}} & c & \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} \\ c & -\frac{1}{3}\left(2\sqrt{2}c\right) & -c \\ \frac{c}{\sqrt{2}} & -c & -\frac{c}{3\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$
	$\overline{b}_{4,17}^{b} = a_{4,15}^{(1-b)/(1+b)}$ $b > 0, b \neq 1$	$\{W - bK_1, M, Q, N\}$	$\gamma_{11}^{(1)} = c \cdot (y-t)^{-\frac{3b+1}{2b}}$, $\gamma_{13}^{(1)} = -c \cdot (y-t)^{-\frac{3b+1}{2b}}$, , $\gamma_{33}^{(1)} = c \cdot (y-t)^{-\frac{3b+1}{2b}}$ $\gamma_{12}^{(1)} = \gamma_{22}^{(1)} = 0$

while $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrices for $b_{4,2}, b_{4,3}$ and $b_{4,4}$ subalgebras, are respectively

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c\cdot\left(x^2+3(t+y)^2\right)}{x^3} & -\frac{3c\cdot(t+y)}{x^2} & -\frac{3c\cdot(t+y)^2}{x^3} \\ -\frac{3c\cdot(t+y)}{x^2} & \frac{2c}{x} & \frac{3c\cdot(t+y)}{x^2} \\ -\frac{3c\cdot(t+y)^2}{x^3} & \frac{3c\cdot(t+y)}{x^2} & -\frac{c\cdot\left(x^2-3(t+y)^2\right)}{x^3} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{100}$$

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} & 0 & \frac{c}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{c}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} & 0 & -\frac{c}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{101}$$

$$\gamma_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c\cdot e^{\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right)}{b}}}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} & 0 & -\frac{c\cdot e^{\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right)}{b}}}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c\cdot e^{\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right)}{b}}}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} & 0 & \frac{c\cdot e^{\frac{\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{t-y}{2}\right)}{b}}}{((t-y)^2+4)^{3/2}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{102}$$

Here, an asterisk after the range under $o(3,2)$ as in $a_{4,12}^{\epsilon}$ means that one has to double the range in case of considering conjugacy under $SO_0(3,2)$ (or $O_1(3,1)$) rather than under $O(3,2)$ (or $SO(3,2)$). E.g. $\epsilon = 1*$ implies $\epsilon = \pm 1$ under $SO_0(3,2)$, while $b > 0*$ means that $b \neq 0, -\infty < b < \infty$ under $SO_0(3,2)$.

Comparing the above matrices to those from the above section "Appendix: Classification according to the generators of the conformal group" formed by the original KVs, we can notice the similar behaviour in the components of the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ matrix of the $b_{4,1}$ group and the one that conserves two SCTs. The reason is in the SCTs that build the K_2 and L_3 of $b_{4,1}$. The $b_{4,2}$ and $b_{4,3}$ contain the power of 3/2 in $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ which also appears in the $\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}$ for 3R+D.

The further realised b subalgebras that correspond to those of $sim(2,1)$ group are $b_{4,5} = a_{4,18}, \overline{b}_{4,6} = a_{4,1}, \overline{b}_{4,10} = a_{4,7}, \overline{b}_{4,13}^{b} = a_{4,10}^{(b-1)/(b+1)}$ for $0 < |b| < 1$ and $[b \neq 0, \pm 1], \overline{b}_{4,14}^{\epsilon} = a_{4,12}^{\epsilon}$, here $\epsilon = 1 * [\epsilon = \pm 1], \overline{b}_{4,15} = a_{4,13}, \overline{b}_{4,16} = a_{4,14}$

In $o(3) \oplus o(2)$ there are no realised subalgebras, while $o(2) \oplus o(2,1)$ contains one algebra with four generators which is formed by 1T+1SCT+1R+D and isomorphic to $sl(2) \oplus u(1)$. Further we consider $o(2,2)$.

o(2,2)

The generators of $o(2,2)$ can be written as

$$A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\xi^{(9)}+\xi^{(8)}}{2} - (\xi^{(0)}+\xi^{(2)})\right], \qquad A_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{(6)}+\xi^{(4)}),$$
$$A_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{\xi^{(9)}+\xi^{(8)}}{2} - (\xi^{(0)}+\xi^{(2)})\right], \qquad B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{-\xi^{(9)}+\xi^{(8)}}{2} + (\xi^{(0)}-\xi^{(2)})\right],$$
$$B_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^{(6)}-\xi^{(4)}), \qquad B_3 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\xi^{(9)}-\xi^{(8)}}{2} + (\xi^{(0)}-\xi^{(2)})\right]. \tag{103}$$

$\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ dependent on the remaining two co-ordinates is obtained by permutation of the original generators. Its algebra is isomorphic to $o(2,1)\oplus o(2,1)$

$$[A_1, A_2] = -A_3, \qquad [A_3, A_1] = A2, \qquad [A_2, A_3] = A_1, \tag{104}$$
$$[B_1, B_2] = -B_3, \qquad [B_3, B_1] = B_2, \qquad [B_2, B_3] = B_1, \tag{105}$$
$$[A_i, B_k] = 0 \qquad (i,k = 1,2,3). \tag{106}$$

Its subagebras contain from six to one generators, however algebras with 6 and 5 generators are not realised. The subalgebras with four generators correspond to $\overline{e}_{4,2} = a_{4,6}, \overline{e}_{4,3} = b_{4,1}, \overline{e}_{4,4} = b_{4,2}$.

o(3,1)

Interesting property of the four dimensional Lorentz algebra $o(3,1)$ is that we can write it on the three dimensional hypersurface via

$$L_1 = \xi^{(7)} + \frac{\xi^{(2)}}{2}, \qquad L_2 = \xi^{(5)}, \qquad L_3 = \xi^{(8)} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{(1)}, \tag{107}$$
$$K_1 = \xi^{(8)} - \frac{1}{2}\xi^{(1)}, \qquad K_2 = \xi^{(6)}, \qquad K_3 = -\xi^{(7)} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{(2)}. \tag{108}$$

that form the commutation relations

$$[L_i, L_j] = \epsilon_{ijk}L_k, \qquad [L_i, K_j] = \epsilon_{ijk}K_k, \qquad [K_i, K_j] = -\epsilon_{ijk}L_k. \tag{109}$$

The algebra $o(3,1)$ is not realised, while its first highest sub algebra of four generators $K_1, L_1; L_2 - K_3, L_3 + K_2$, is equal to the $a_{4,17}$, i.e. 2 dimensional Poincare algebra (46).

These $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ matrices for the flat background metric can be transformed into the $\gamma^{(1)}_{ij}$ on the spherical background using the map to spherical coordinates [17].

* Electronic address: irakleidou@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at
† Electronic address: lovrekovic@hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at

[1] V. Balasubramanian, J. de Boer, and D. Minic. Mass, entropy and holography in asymptotically de Sitter spaces. *Phys. Rev.*, D65:123508, 2002.
[2] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus. A Stress tensor for Anti-de Sitter gravity. *Commun.Math.Phys.*, 208:413–428, 1999.
[3] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov. Infinite Conformal Symmetry in Two-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory. *Nucl. Phys.*, B241:333–380, 1984.
[4] N. Berkovits and E. Witten. Conformal supergravity in twistor-string theory. *JHEP*, 0408:009, 2004.
[5] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Jr. Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action. *Phys. Rev.*, D47:1407–1419, 1993.
[6] S. Deser and B. Tekin. Gravitational energy in quadratic curvature gravities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 89:101101, 2002.
[7] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Senechal. Conformal Field Theory. 1997.

[8] Ó. J. C. Dias, J. E. Santos, and B. Way. Black holes with a single Killing vector field: black resonators. *JHEP*, 12:171, 2015.

[9] D. Grumiller, M. Irakleidou, I. Lovrekovic, and R. McNees. Conformal gravity holography in four dimensions. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 112:111102, 2014.

[10] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim. Asymptotically anti-De Sitter Spaces. *Commun.Math.Phys.*, 98:391–424, 1985.

[11] G. t. Hooft. The Conformal Constraint in Canonical Quantum Gravity. 2010.

[12] G. T. Hooft. Local Conformal Symmetry: the Missing Symmetry Component for Space and Time. 2014.

[13] G. T. Horowitz and J. E. Santos. Geons and the Instability of Anti-de Sitter Spacetime. *Surveys Diff. Geom.*, 20:321–335, 2015.

[14] M. Irakleidou and I. Lovrekovic. Conformal gravity one-loop partition function. *Phys. Rev.*, D93(10):104043, 2016.

[15] M. Irakleidou, I. Lovrekovic, and F. Preis. Canonical charges and asymptotic symmetry algebra of conformal gravity. 2014.

[16] D. J. Kaup. Klein-Gordon Geon. *Phys. Rev.*, 172:1331–1342, 1968.

[17] I. Lovrekovic. Thesis.

[18] I. Lovrekovic. Canonical charges and asymptotic symmetries in four dimensional conformal gravity. *PoS*, CORFU2014:155, 2015.

[19] J. Maldacena. Einstein Gravity from Conformal Gravity. 2011.

[20] P. D. Mannheim and D. Kazanas. Exact Vacuum Solution to Conformal Weyl Gravity and Galactic Rotation Curves. *Astrophys.J.*, 342:635–638, 1989.

[21] P. D. Mannheim and J. G. O'Brien. Fitting galactic rotation curves with conformal gravity and a global quadratic potential. *Phys.Rev.*, D85:124020, 2012.

[22] P. D. Mannheim and J. G. O'Brien. Galactic rotation curves in conformal gravity. *J.Phys.Conf.Ser.*, 437:012002, 2013.

[23] M. A. Melvin. Pure magnetic and electric geons. *Phys. Lett.*, 8:65–70, 1964.

[24] J. Patera, R. Sharp, P. Winternitz, and H. Zassenhaus. Continuous Subgroups of the Fundamental Groups of Physics. 3. The de Sitter Groups. *J.Math.Phys.*, 18:2259, 1977.

[25] M. Riegler. Asymptotic Symmetry Algebras in Non-Anti-de-Sitter Higher-Spin Gauge Theories. Master's thesis, Vienna, Tech. U., 2012.

[26] G. 't Hooft. Quantum gravity without space-time singularities or horizons. pages 251–266, 2009.

[27] G. 't Hooft. Probing the small distance structure of canonical quantum gravity using the conformal group. 2010.

[28] C. Troessaert. Enhanced asymptotic symmetry algebra of AdS_3. *JHEP*, 08:044, 2013.

[29] J. A. Wheeler. Geons. *Phys. Rev.*, 97:511–536, 1955.